RevelationPepe @RevelationPepe

Jan 4, 2025 • 11 tweets • RevelationPepe/status/1875610632936096125

Fogvid-24

Not a lot is known yet... I will aim to stack here the main resources of interest I have been able to gather about it:

https://x.com/Melchizedek1972/status/1874097856283631919

whole vid https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N02SK9yd60s (didn't watch it)

tinyurl.com/4by75ukp

symptoms

-loss of apetite
-losing weight

-can't sleep at night
-weird dreams?
-dry cough
-congestion
-extremely irritable
-animic problems
-gut problems (stomach aches, diarrhea, nauseas)
-jaw pain
-headache
-eyes and throats pain
-loss of energy

MAGABrittany
@paintsaints · **Follow**

Replying to @paintsaints

If you're not comfortable clicking the link, here are the screenshots on Fog.


web.archive.org
Fog
In general, successful fog dissipation requires some type of heating or seeding process. Which technique works best depends on the type of fog encountered. In simplest terms, there are two basic types of fog-cold and warm. Cold fog occurs at temperatures below 32oF. The best-known dissipation technique for cold fog is to seed it from the air with agents that promote the growth of ice crystals.[24]
Warm fog occurs at temperatures above 32°F and accounts for 90 percent of the fog-related problems encountered by flight operations.[23] The best-known dissipation technique is heating because a small temperature increase is usually sufficient to evaporate the fog. Since heating usually isn't practical, the next most effective technique is hygroscopic seeding.[26] Hygroscopic seeding uses agents that absorb water vapor. This technique is most effective when accomplished from the air but can also be accomplished from the ground.[27] Optimal results require advance information on fog depth, liquid water content, and wind.[28]
Decades of research show that fog dissipation is an effective application of weather-modification technology with demonstrated savings of huge proportions for both military and civil aviation.[29] Local municipalities have also shown an interest in applying these techniques to improve the safety of high-speed highways transiting areas of frequently occurring dense fog.[30]
There are some emerging technologies which may have important applications for fog dispersal. As discussed earlier, heating is the most effective dispersal method for the most commonly occurring type of fog. Unfortunately, it has proved impractical for most situations and would be difficult at best for contingency operations. However, the development of directed radiant energy technologies, such as microwaves and lasers, could provide new possibilities.
Lab experiments have shown microwaves to be effective for the heat dissipation of fog. However, results also indicate that the energy levels required exceed the US large power density exposure limit of 100 watt/m2 and would be very expensive.[31] Field experiments with lasers have demonstrated the capability to dissipate warm fog at an airfield with zero visibility. Generating 1 watt/cm², which is approximately the US large power density exposure limit, the system raised visibility to one quarter of a mile in 20 seconds.[32] Laser systems described in the Space Operations portion of this AF 2025 study could certainly provide this capability as one of their many possible uses.
With regard to seeding techniques, improvements in the materials and delivery methods are not only plausible but likely. Smart materials based on nanotechnology are currently being developed with gigaops computer capability at their core. They could adjust their size to optimal dimensions for a given fog seeding situation and even make adjustments throughout the process. They might also enhance their dispersal qualities by adjusting their buoyancy, by communicating with each other, and by steering themselves within the fog. They will be able to provide immediate and continuous effectiveness feedback by integrating with a larger sensor network and can also change their temperature and polarity to improve their seeding effects.[33] As mentioned above, UAVs could be used to deliver and distribute these smart materials.
Recent army research lab experiments have demonstrated the feasibility of generating fog. They used commercial equipment to generate thick fog in an area 100 meters long. Further study has shown fogs to be effective at blocking much of the UV/IR/visible spectrum, effectively masking emitters of such radiation from IR weapons.[34] This technology would enable a small military unit to avoid detection in the IR spectrum. Fog could be generated to quickly, conceal the movement of tanks or infantry, or it could conceal military operations, facilities, or equipment. Such systems may also be useful in inhibiting observations of sensitive rear-area operations by electro-optical reconnaissance platforms.[35]
Storms
The desirability to modify storms to support military objectives is the most aggressive and controversial type of weather-modification. The damage caused by storms is indeed horrendous. For instance, a tropical storm has an energy equal to 10,000 one-megaton hydrogen bombs,[36] and in 1992 Hurricane Andrew totally destroyed Homestead AFB, Florida, caused the evacuation of most military aircraft in the southeastern US, and resulted in $15.5 billion of damage.[37] However, as one would expect based on a storm's energy level, current scientific literature indicates that there are definite physical limits on mankind's ability to modify storm systems. By taking this into account along with political, environmental, economic, legal, and moral considerations, we will confine our analysis of storms to localized thunderstorms and thus do not consider major storm systems such as hurricanes or intense low-pressure systems.
At any instant there are approximately 2,000 thunderstorms taking place. In fact 45,000 thunderstorms, which contain heavy rain, hail, microbursts, wind shear, and lightning form daily.[38] Anyone who has flown frequently on commercial aircraft has probably noticed the "chop" caused by flying through the outer fringes of a thunderstorm. This was clearly shown in August 1985 when a jumbo jet crashed killing 137 people after encountering microburst wind shears during a rain squall.[39] These forces of nature impact all aircraft and even the most advanced fighters of 1996 make every attempt to avoid a thunderstorm.
Will bad weather remain an aviation hazard in 2025? The answer, unfortunately, is "yes," but projected advances in technology over the next 30 years will diminish the hazard. Aircraft will be equipped with sensors that can detect, map, and display in real time rapidly changing winds. Aircraft will also have highly accurate, onboard sensing systems that can instantaneously "map" and automatically guide the aircraft through the safest portion of a storm cell. Aircraft are envisioned to have hardened electronics that can withstand the effects of lightning strikes and may also have the capability to generate a protective electromagnetic field to fly through the strongest of storms.
Assuming that the US achieves some or all of the above outlined aircraft technical advances and maintains the technological "weather edge" over its potential adversaries, we can next look at how we could modify the battlespace weather to make the best use of our technical advantage.

1:51 PM · Dec 31, 2024

719 Reply Copy link

Read 14 replies

NI SKIES
@ni_skies · **Follow**

Replying to @ni_skies

Don't ignore Deagel popn forecasts - they didn't get scrubbed for being wrong. CIA contractors don't make mistakes like that. Think about it, a bio fog is a perfect weapon. The WEF assholes come back out when it's over, like nothing happened except 85% of the popn are gone.



10:06 AM · Dec 31, 2024

1.6K Reply Copy link

Read 40 replies

On more shittery on the fog and the report of a friend

RevelationPepe
@RevelationPepe · **Follow**

Replying to @LilithDatura

From 06:12 to 06:36 in this TPV's video

rumble.com/v6500pp-chemtr...

they report these lab results on some contents of the mysterious fog.

1- Barium (Carcinogen)
2- Strontium (Carcinogen)
3- Aluminium Oxide
4- Pathogens
5- Uranium (WTF)
6- Graphene Oxide (also present in C19 jabs)



rumble.com
Chemtrails Pilot Reveals Plot to Blanket World in "Death Fog" to Kill Billi...
Get Ivermectin, Hydroxychloroquine, and Fenbendazole here:
https://pills4ever.com - use coupon code 'peoplesvoice' for 15% off. - ...

9:47 PM · Jan 5, 2025

Reply **Copy link**

Read more on X

We have a fog report, see
https://x.com/LilithDatura/status/1876016635859149156

From this, we read that they used (and have used again) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Serratia_marcescens in mysterious fogs. I attach symptoms of the exposition to it, and some RV predictions who got it right in advance, below.

While it seems to



Pathogenicity [edit]

In humans, *S. marcescens* can cause an opportunistic infection in several sites,[12] including the urinary tract, respiratory tract, wounds,[7] breasts,[13] and the eye,[14] where it may cause conjunctivitis, keratitis, endophthalmitis, and tear duct infections.[15] It is also a rare cause of endocarditis and osteomyelitis (particularly in people who use intravenous drugs recreationally), pneumonia, and meningitis.[6][7] Most *S. marcescens* strains are resistant to several antibiotics because of the presence of R-factors, which are a type of plasmid that carry one or more genes that encode resistance; all are considered intrinsically resistant to ampicillin, macrolides, and first-generation cephalosporins (such as cephalexin).[6]

have been mostly harmless...

05:26-06:18 of https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZSUzhaCtGoU

1 - https://x.com/ERviewer/status/1874544024746623175
2 - https://x.com/ERviewer/status/1874544759513186807

I can report to know people who have been exposed to the mist, now for weeks, and who had conjunctivitis, which was exceptional in them even if flu-sick.

Edward wrote "for experimental purposes only", yet you're inhaling things that make you sick and include, if one were to take his predictions seriously (and there is reason for doing so), nano-bots.

His other prediction about the vibrating electrically activated particles

coincides with a video that I saw some days ago, but that now it is being impossible to find... Where someone changed the water of a bucket outside, and the very next night there were interacting vibrating particles (like graphene oxide?) visible to the eye on the water cube.

The point is that this shit has most likely some nanotech technology. Don't breathe it in. It also has that bacteria, but the most important bit is the nanobot threat and its link to the Marburg epidemic predictions by Todd Callender.

It's not sure, but it may be. Besides that

when you look at the highlighted areas that would especially suffer from a massive inhalation of this fog, we can see these are

- heart
- brain
- respiratory system + eyes

and in Wikipedia it is mentioned how immunodepressed individuals can have it "bad".

Pneumonia ~ 🇨🇳 HMPV

@threadreaderapp please unroll

...